# Digital Breast Tomosynthesis

A Practical Approach

**Alberto Tagliafico** Nehmat Houssami Massimo Calabrese *Editors*





Digital Breast Tomosynthesis

Alberto Tagliafico Nehmat Houssami • Massimo Calabrese **Editors** 

# Digital Breast Tomosynthesis

A Practical Approach



 *Editors*  Alberto Tagliafico Department of Experimental Medicine University of Genova Genova Italy

 Nehmat Houssami School of Public Health University of Sydney **Sydney** Australia

 Massimo Calabrese Department of Diagnostic Senology San Martino Hospital Genova Italy

 Electronic supplementary material is available in the online version of chapter 5 on SpringerLink: <http://link.springer.com/>

 ISBN 978-3-319-28629-7 ISBN 978-3-319-28631-0 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-28631-0

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016935928

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

 This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

 The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

 The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

 This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland

### **Preface**

 Digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT) is emerging as an important imaging modality for both the screening and diagnosis of breast cancer. DBT improves on the capability of mammography by depicting breast tissue in three dimensions, hence overcoming the limitation of standard mammography, which can display only two-dimensional images. Yet, because it is essentially a re-invention of mammography, there is a familiarity in many aspects of DBT from a reader's perspective that makes it both appealing and feasible to learn and use in breast imaging practice. In clinical practice, DBT is read as video clips of cross-sectional images, reconstructed according to their mammographic planes of acquisition. Hence, in this book we present more than 30 video clips of DBT taken from our daily clinical practice to contribute practical knowledge to this emerging field. The goal is to introduce the beginner to DBT and to help physicians with more extensive experience in using DBT to consolidate their expertise.

 This book aims to provide a description of screening and clinical applications of DBT and to offer simple and clear guidance on the technique. In the first chapter, Gisella Gennaro presents a brief overview of the physical principles of DBT. In the following chapters, Per Skaane assesses the potential of DBT in screening practice and Luca Carbonaro describes the clinical applications of DBT for various clinical indications. The final chapter includes clinical cases for didactic and practical learning, which is the focus of this book. These cases are taken from our daily clinical practice, where we perform 15 000 mammographic examinations, 10 000 DBTs, 5000 ultrasound scans, 500 magnetic resonance examinations, and 2300 biopsies per year. There is also a brief chapter discussing breast density overall and in relation to DBT.

We expect that by time this book is published, scientific publications and clinical evidence on the use of DBT will have increased rapidly. Therefore, we believe that this book is timely and provides a practical approach to enhancing knowledge on the use of DBT in clinical practice. We would like to thank all contributing authors for their time and contributions and for sharing their expertise in this volume. Contributing authors have a strong commitment to clinical and translational research in DBT, and are active researchers and teachers. We consider this aspect very important in creating a well-balanced, up-to-date, and useful text. Lead authors of the individual chapters were invited to share their insights because of their outstanding experience and major contributions to the radiological literature on the topic.

 Finally, we would also like to thank in advance our readers and colleagues for whom we decided to develop this work.

Sydney, Australia<br>Genova, Italy

Genova, Italy (Genova, Italy 1996)<br>
Sydney, Australia (Genova, Italy 1996)<br>
Sehmat Houssami Massimo Calabrese

# **Contents**



## **Physics and Radiation Dose of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis**

Gisella Gennaro

#### **1.1 Physical Principle of Tomosynthesis**

 Digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT) was proved to be feasible at the end of the 1990s, when digital mammography systems were going to be launched into the market (Niklason et al. 1997). It was already known that 2D mammography, despite the advent of new detectors, is inherently limited because of the superimposition of both normal and pathological structures when a transmission X-ray image is acquired. In fact, in mammography the 3D breast structure is projected onto the detector plane perpendicular to the X-ray source, and the multiple tissues and structures appear overlapped in the projection image. This has two effects on radiologists' capability of detecting subtle lesions in mammography images: on one side, malignant lesions might be masked by the presence of overlapped glandular tissue, producing false negatives; on the other side, the superimposition of normal tissues might determine false positives. The lowering of sensitivity and specificity in conventional mammography caused by tissue superimposition is often called "anatomical" or "structure" noise, i.e., something which is an obstacle for radiologists to correctly interpret image contents (Niklason et al. 1997; Burgess et al. 2001). The adverse effects of the anatomical noise can be reduced by introducing tomographic imaging methods, like tomosynthesis.

 DBT is a quasi-3D imaging technique, which reconstructs tomographic images of the breast from a series of low-dose projection images acquired by a digital detector while the X-ray tube rotates within a limited arc (Park et al. 2007 ). This can be relatively easily obtained from a standard digital mammography platform where the gantry is allowed to move around an axis located above the breast support, while the digital detector remains stationary during the acquisition of the low-dose projection. Breast positioning in tomosynthesis is the same as used for conventional digital

 **1**

G. Gennaro

Department of Radiology, Veneto Institute of Oncology (IOV) – IRCCS, Padova, Italy e-mail: [gisella.gennaro@ioveneto.it](mailto:gisella.gennaro@ioveneto.it)

<sup>©</sup> Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016 1

A. Tagliafico et al. (eds.), *Digital Breast Tomosynthesis: A Practical Approach*, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-28631-0\_1

mammography, with the breast compressed on the breast support to obtain different views (typically cranio-caudal, CC and mediolateral oblique, MLO) (Yaffe et al.  $2014$ .

 A schematic view of acquisition and reconstruction in digital breast tomosynthesis is depicted in Fig.  $1.1a$ , b (Reiser et al. 2014).

 The two objects (red and blue) within the breast are located along the *z* -axis at different depths, A and B. In DBT multiple projection images at low dose are acquired, with the X-ray source at different angles. The two objects appear overlapped in the central projection, but they are separated in the angled projections, with a shift proportional to the tube angle (Fig.  $1.1a$ ). The acquisition scheme is simplified for three projections, but in real DBT systems, the number of projection ranges between 9 and 25, depending on the system. In Fig. 1.1b the reconstruction process is illustrated, showing the result of a shift-and-add (SAA) algorithm. Multiple planes corresponding to different depths in the breast are reconstructed by adding the contribution of all the acquired low-dose projection images. For each reconstructed plane, the algorithm permits to have in focus only the structures belonging to that plane, while any other structure located in different planes is blurred. The reconstruction process, blurring everything comes from an out-offocus plane, reduces the anatomical noise and favorites easier lesion detection (Reiser et al.  $2014$ ).

 Clinical tomosynthesis images (stack of tomographic planes) appear very similar to standard 2D mammography, but lesion detectability is strongly improved in the lesion in-focus plane.



**Fig. 1.1** Tomosynthesis acquisition (a) and reconstruction (b). Only three projections are represented to illustrate the principle, while in real systems the number of projections ranges between 9 and 25 (From Reiser et al. 2014)

 In the following, the details of acquisition and reconstruction will be explored, to better figure out the contribution of each physical/technological factor to DBT images.

#### **1.2 Acquisition in Tomosynthesis**

There are five digital breast tomosynthesis systems currently available in the European market, three of them received the FDA approval and are available also in the United States. All those systems can perform both standard mammography and tomosynthesis.

 In Table 1.1 is reported a summary of the main physical parameters illustrating the differences across manufacturers for each DBT solution (Sechopoulos 2013a , part I).

#### **1.2.1 Anode/Filter Material and Technique Factors**

 It can be noticed from Table 1.1 that the DBT systems mostly use tungsten (W) anode with silver  $(Ag)$  or rhodium  $(Rh)$  or aluminum  $(A)$  filter, in order to obtain more penetrating X-ray beams than those used for 2D mammography. The only exception is GE, adopting mainly the Rh/Rh in DBT acquisition. Kilovoltage is usually higher than values used for mammography acquisition. The reason why in DBT X-ray beams with higher photon energy are used versus mammography is that the

Manufacturer	Fuji	GE	Hologic	<b>IMS</b>	<b>Siemens</b>
Anode material	W	Mo or Rh	W	W	W
Filter material	Al or Rh	Mo or Rh	Ag	Ag	Rh
Detector	a-Se FPD	CsI FPD	a-Se FPD	a-Se <b>FPD</b>	a-Se FPD
Pixel size $(\mu m)$	150/100 (ST) mode) 100/50 (HR mode)	100	$140*$ $(*70)$ rebinned)	85	85
Pixel shape	Hexagonal	Square	Square	Square	Square
Tube motion	Continuous	Step-and- shoot	Continuous	Step- and- shoot	Continuous
Sweep angle $(°)$	$15$ (ST mode) 40 (HR mode)	25	15	40	50
$N^{\circ}$ of projections	15	9	15	13	25
Dose/projection	Uniform	Uniform	Uniform	Variable	Uniform
Antiscatter grid	N <sub>0</sub>	Yes	N <sub>0</sub>	N <sub>0</sub>	N <sub>0</sub>

**Table 1.1** Physical characteristics of the five commercial solutions for digital breast tomosynthesis

inherent contrast of the series if low-dose projections is not very important (as it was in mammography) because the benefit of tomosynthesis derives from its capability of improving lesion conspicuity by reducing the anatomical noise (Yaffe et al. 2014).

#### **1.2.2 Detector Type and In-plane Resolution**

All the commercial systems mount a flat panel detector (FPD), either with a scintillator (cesium iodide) or a photoconductor (selenium) to convert X-rays in a different type of signal. In the GE system, the X-ray photons entering the panel interact with the cesium iodide (CsI) layer, and are converted in light photons, which constitute the signal collected by the flat panel. In all the other systems, the  $X$ -ray photons create electric charges in the selenium layer, and a strong electric field drags those charges to the FPD. Each system uses the same flat panel for both mammography and tomosynthesis acquisition.

 The original pixel size of the FPD used in mammography is 50 μm for Fuji, 70 μm for Hologic, 85 μm for IMS and Siemens, and 100 μm for GE. In tomosynthesis, GE, IMS, and Siemens use the same pixel size, Hologic opts for  $2 \times 2$  pixel rebinning with an effective pixel size of 140 μm, and Fuji proposes a hexagonal pixel with the opportunity of modulating the effective pixel size from 50 μm up to 150 μm.

 As the detector pixel size is either the same or comparable with that used for mammography acquisition, the in-plane resolution  $(x-y)$  of tomosynthesis images (tomographic planes) is high, very close to the resolution of mammography images.

#### **1.2.3 Tube Motion**

 The tube motion around the breast can be either continuous or step-and-shoot. The continuous motion is the same used by computer tomography (CT) systems: the X-ray tube moves continuously along the arc, and at given positions, an X-ray pulse is emitted and a low-dose projection acquired. Its major advantage is the acquisition speed, provided that the detector readout is fast enough, while a disadvantage of the continuous motion is the focal spot blur during tube travel. In the step-and-shoot approach, the X-ray tube stops at each position before acquiring each low-dose projection. This avoids the focal spot blur but requires some extra seconds for the overall scan.

 For both continuous and step-and-shoot motions, knowledge of the precise angular position each exposure has been performed is necessary, and this information, recorded in the image header, is used by the algorithm to recombine the projection images and reconstruct the breast volume.

Three out of five commercial DBT systems use the continuous tube motion (Fuji, Hologic, Siemens), the remaining two systems (GE and IMS) adopt the step-andshoot approach.

#### **1.2.4 Acquisition Geometry**

 All the DBT systems currently available work in partial isocentric geometry, with the detector stationary during acquisition and the X-ray source moving in an arc around the compressed breast. Only the Hologic system tilts the image detector to follow the X-ray tube.

 An alternative would be offered by full isocentric geometry, in which detector and X-ray source move synchronously around the imaged object. This is the acquisition geometry of CT.

#### **1.2.5 Sweep Angle and Number of Projections**

 The two parameters that characterize tomosynthesis systems are the sweep angle (or scan angle), i.e., the whole arc traveled by the gantry from first to last projection acquisition, and the number of projections (Roth et al. 2014). As reported in Table 1.1 , commercial systems are very different in this respect. The sweep angle ranges from a minimum of 15 $\degree$  ( $\pm$ 7.5 $\degree$ ) for the Hologic equipment and a maximum of  $50^{\circ}$  ( $\pm 25^{\circ}$ ) for the Siemens system. The Fuji product provides two alternative settings, one called "standard mode" equivalent to the Hologic solution and a second one called "high-resolution mode," with a wider scan angle  $(40^{\circ})$ . The GE system works with an intermediate arc of  $25^{\circ}$  ( $\pm 12.5^{\circ}$ ). The number of low-dose projection ranges from a minimum of 9 (GE) to a maximum of 25 (Siemens).

 In general, small scan angles are better for "in-plane resolution" and small objects like microcalcifications are better depicted, while wide angles improve the "out-of-plane resolution" (or *z* -resolution), and this is preferable for large objects, like masses, whose representation is not limited to an individual infocus plane. However, the general principle of maximizing the angular range in tomosynthesis is not applicable because of the stationarity of the detector determining a reduction of the effective field of view (FOV) when projections are taken at wide angles. Moreover, due to the constraint applied by manufacturers to keep the radiation dose for a DBT series not much higher than the dose level for a standard mammography view, the use of a wide sweep angle means an increase of the number of projections and the need to decrease the exposure per projection, which should be limited by the presence of quantum noise.

 DBT systems mostly distribute radiation dose uniformly along the scan angle, i.e., the same product current x time (mAs) is used for each projection. The only exception is the IMS solution, which uses about 50 % of the total dose for the central projection (to obtain a quasi-standard mammogram), while the remaining dose is variably distributed along the scan angle, with angled projections obtained with a variable angular sampling.

#### **1.2.6 Scatter Radiation**

 Scatter radiation is known to degrade image quality in standard 2D mammography. For this reason, the use of antiscatter grids has been introduced. Grids are constituted of lead septs oriented in the cathode-anode direction and focused toward the X-ray source; the role of the lead septs is to absorb scattered photons and leave unchanged the trajectory of primary photons. However, the grid usage has also determined the need of increasing radiation dose because the grid lines absorb preferably but not exclusively scattered photons. Despite this side effect on radiation dose, grids are systematically used in mammography because they strongly improve image quality, especially for thick breasts.

 In tomosynthesis scatter radiation is still present, but most manufacturers chose to not use an antiscatter grid for multiple reasons: the first is that conventional grids cannot be used as they are out of focus for any projection acquired with the X-ray source at a different angle than  $0^{\circ}$  and the second is that scatter radiation mainly degrades image contrast, but, as stated for the technique factors, the strong point of tomosynthesis is its capability in reducing the anatomical noise, and consequently, the contrast of the projection images becomes a secondary factor. Finally, it should be noticed that the will of keeping radiation dose per DBT projection as low as possible means that such dose level must be set to produce a sufficient signal-to-noise ratio in the projection image; also the scattered photons contribute to the signal-tonoise ratio and, as such, may have some positive effects on DBT quality.

 The only manufacturer who still uses a grid in tomosynthesis is GE that developed a special grid with the lead septa oriented parallel to the chest wall in order to capture the scattered photons while maintaining grid focus during acquisition. As for standard mammography, the benefit of grid use is more evident for thick breasts, for which the amount of scattered radiation is more significant.

#### **1.3 Reconstruction in Tomosynthesis**

 Reconstruction of volumetric information from a limited number of low-dose projections acquired within a limited angle is a challenge. In fact, the amount of tomographic information available from acquisition is only partial, and most information necessary for a volumetric reconstruction is missing.

#### **1.3.1 Reconstruction Algorithms**

 Reconstruction algorithms used in tomosynthesis are similar to those used in CT, with some difficulties due to the limited geometry, as mentioned above. There are two main categories, the very classical filtered back-projection (FBP) and the more recent iterative techniques (Sechopoulos 2013b, part II).

 Back-projection (BP) just reverses the projection process and realigns structures spatially, obtaining multiple tomographic planes where signal coming from structure belonging to each plane are reinforced (in focus) while any other out-of-plane



**Fig. 1.2** *Left*: Fourier space coverage in CT acquisition geometry. *Right*: Fourier space coverage in DBT acquisition geometry

structure is blurred. Unfortunately, images reconstructed from pure back-projection are unavoidably blurred, and filtration is required to remove blurring (FBP). This is the reference algorithm used in conventional CT, and its major benefit was to be fast, even in the early days of CT when computers were not as powerful as today. Filtered back-projection is performed in the frequency space, also called Fourier domain. Figure 1.2 compares the information available for reconstruction when a full CT acquisition is performed (left: full angular coverage, many projections) to the limited information available when a DBT acquisition is performed (right, limited angle, limited number of projections). Because of the very poor geometrical sampling of tomosynthesis acquisition, the spatial resolution in the direction perpendicular to the detector plane (*z*-direction) is very limited (Wu et al. 2003).

 The iterative techniques include both algebraic and statistical algorithms. They take advantage from the improved performance of computing systems. The iterative reconstruction, currently implemented also in computed tomography, shows particular benefits in tomosynthesis because they can face better than FBP the limitations on image quality caused by the geometrical limitations. Iterative algorithms produce tomographic images with good sharpness and high signal-to-noise ratio. Moreover, they are effective in reducing the reconstruction artifacts caused by the spatial undersampling of tomosynthesis acquisition (Wu et al. 2004).

#### **1.3.2 Reconstructed "Objects"**

Three different types of "objects" are reconstructed in tomosynthesis:

- 1. Tomographic planes (mostly called "slices")
- 2. Slabs
- 3. 2D synthetic views